

ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/789,416  | 02/26/2004                       | Toshihiro Shima      | MIPFP080            | 5260             |
| 25920 7590 01/02/2008 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE |                                  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |                                  |                      | NGUYEN, ALLEN H     |                  |
|   | SUITE 200<br>SUNNYVALE, CA 94085 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                                  |                      | 2625                |                  |
|   |                                  |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                  | •                    | 01/02/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| ¥   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| <b></b>   | 10/789,416   | SHIMA ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Allen H. Nguyen  | 2625  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sum. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  | ·  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | 23 July 2004.  |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice und  | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D  | ). 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and  | ndrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a   | ments have been received.<br>ments have been received in A<br>priority documents have been<br>ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No  received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | 4) 🗔 Intention (   | Summer (DTO 442)  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>  | B) Paper No(   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 5)  Notice of t<br>6) Other:   | nformal Patent Application  |  |  |  |

10/789,416 Art Unit: 2625

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- I. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 2, paragraph [0005] of the Specification in the First Embodiment.
- II. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 3, paragraph [0017] of the Specification in the Second Embodiment.
- III. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 5, paragraph [0023] of the Specification in the Third Embodiment.
- IV. Species of the embodiment disclosed on page 7, paragraph [0035] of the Specification in the Fourth Embodiment.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or

**Application/Control Number:** 

10/789,416 Art Unit: 2625

employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number:

10/789,416 Art Unit: 2625

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-270-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Poon can be reached on (571)-272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

ΑN

12/21/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER